

Re: FW: Distribution PNNL-14859-ICN-2 FINAL

Dave Bartus to: Davis, Greta (ECY), kcon461

01/29/2007 10:14 AM

Kathy/Greta: We definitely need to meet with Alisa and her managers. The position and regulatory interpretation below are pretty scary. Seeing this sort of interpretation, I feel it is critical in Ecology interest that we set up the proposed meeting.

"Davis, Greta (ECY)" < GDAV461@ECY.WA.GOV>



"Davis, Greta (ECY)" <GDAV461@ECY.WA.GOV> 01/29/2007 10:03 AM

To Dave Bartus/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

СС

Subject FW: Distribution PNNL-14859-ICN-2 FINAL

FYI

From: Huckaby, Alisa (ECY)

Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2007 8:20 AM

To: Goswami, Dib (ECY)

Cc: Shea, Jacqueline (ECY); Jackson, Zelma (ECY); Caggiano, Joseph (ECY); Whalen, Cheryl (ECY); Skinnarland, Ron (ECY); Davis, Greta (ECY); Singleton, Deborah (ECY); Ollero, Jennifer (ECY)

Subject: RE: Distribution PNNL-14859-ICN-2 FINAL

Dib.

Considering the permit application is incomplete, Ecology has not taken any compliance action regarding USDOE's non-compliance with groundwater protection standards, and the fact that Ecology will very likely be forced to issue a final status permit with a long-term compliance schedule (which may be more difficult to justify because we have not taken any compliance action even though LLBGs have been non-compliant for over a decade....), I recommend Ecology review this interim status gw monitoring plan and issue a letter of great disappointment with a request that USDOE revise the plan and submit a better one for Ecology's approval and ultimately USDOE's implementation. Of course, the revised plan should include a schedule for coming into compliance with interim status gw protection standards. I recommend the letter reference the permit application NOD and basically rely on the NOD as the basis for identifying all that's wrong with their gw monitoring network and program. I'd like to get management's nod before I work on this though. Note: Ecology is not supposed to issue permits with compliance schedules for the owner/operator to come into compliance with interim status standards. So....in the permitting world, this approach may represent a justifying basis for the long-range compliance schedule that will be required for the LLBG permit. My opinion only.

I'm available to discuss this recommendation.

Alisa

From: Goswami, Dib (ECY)

Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:22 PM

To: Huckaby, Alisa (ECY); Shea, Jacqueline (ECY); Jackson, Zelma (ECY); Caggiano, Joseph (ECY)

Subject: FW: Distribution PNNL-14859-ICN-2 FINAL

FYI.....action if any?

Dib

From: Broberg, Jamie A [mailto:Jamie.Broberg@pnl.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:15 PM

To: Collins, Michael S; Thompson, K M (Mike); Hildebrand, R D (Doug); Borghese, Jane V; Faulk, Darrin E; Miskho, Anthony G; Prignano, Andrea L; Swanson, L Craig; Winterhalder, John A; Faulk, Dennis (EPA); Goswami, Dib (ECY); Singleton, Deborah (ECY); Dresel, P Evan; Fruchter, Jonathan S; Hartman, Mary J; Luttrell, Stuart P; Stewart, Dorothy L; ^DOE Public Reading Room; ^PNNL Library Document Delivery; Cook, Sylvia V

Subject: FW: Distribution PNNL-14859-ICN-2 FINAL

Attached please find the electronic version of *Interim Status Groundwater Monitoring Plan for Low-*

Level Waste Management Areas 1 to 4, RCRA Facilities, Hanford, Washington, PNNL-14859-ICN-2, for your records.

Thank you,

Jamie A. Broberg

Administrative Secretary
Field Hydrology & Chemistry
Sigma V/ 1119
(509) 376-4067
fax 372-1704
MSIN K6-96
jamie.broberg@pnl.gov

"Life is what we make it. Always has been, always will be." ~Grandma Moses